



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,961	10/31/2003	Sivakumar Ramasamy	0275M-000666/COB	8815

27572 7590 12/07/2006

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 828  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER
----------

SHARP, JEFFREY ANDREW

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3677

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,961	RAMASAMY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeffrey Sharp	3677	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeffrey Sharp. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Chris Eusebi. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All independent claims.


Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record and cited in formal rejections.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**ROBERT J. SANDY**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In the interview, Examiner discussed with Applicant some informal suggestions which might overcome the rejections of record. No formal claim language was agreed upon. Applicant also discussed Figure 12 which shows some criticality of the present invention over those weld studs found in the prior art. The Examiner stated a supplemental amendment to the response filed 23 October 2006 may be made to the claims to reflect the suggestions made by the examiner in this interview. However, such a supplemental amendment must be made in accordance with the MPEP and accompanied by any associated fees and/or extensions of time..

A handwritten signature, possibly reading 'S. J. [unclear]', is written in dark ink. Below the signature, the date '11/21/06' is written in a similar hand.